## **CO3** (Ver. 2.6)

## a COnverter for proving COnfluence of COnditional TRSs

Naoki Nishida Misaki Kojima Nagoya University, Japan

## Overview

CO3 proves confluence of 3-DCTRSs or infeasibility of conditions by using

- very simple termination/confluence criteria for TRSs,
- the improved sequential unraveling  $\mathbb{U}_{conf}$  [Gmeiner et al, 13],
- narrowing trees [Nishida & Maeda, 18], and
- reduction of confluence of join or semi-equational CTRSs to that of oriented ones

## Infeasibility and Confluence Criterion

- Condition c is infeasible w.r.t. DCTRS  $\mathcal{R}$  if  $\mathbb{U}_{conf}(\mathcal{R})$  is right-linear and a narrowing tree for c defines  $\emptyset$  [Maeda et al, 19]
- ullet Syntactically deterministic 3-CTRSs  ${\cal R}$  is confluent if either
  - ${\mathcal R}$  is weakly left-linear and  ${\mathbb U}_{conf}({\mathcal R})$  is confluent [Gmeiner et al, 13]
  - $\mathbb{U}_{conf}(\mathcal{R})$  is terminating and right-linear and  $\forall \langle s,t \rangle \Leftarrow c \in CP(\mathcal{R}), \ (c=\epsilon \land s=t) \lor \text{"$c$ is infeasible"}$  [Maeda et al, 19]
- Improved a processor for the subterm criterion