CRaris (Version 1.1)

Naoki Nishida and Misaki Kojima

Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan nishida@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp kojima@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp

CRaris, a CR checker for LCTRSs in ARI style,¹ is a tool to prove confluence of *logically constrained term rewrite systems* (LCTRSs, for short) [5] written in ARI format [1].² The tool is based on Crisys2, constrained rewriting induction system (version 2),³ and receives LCTRSs written in ARI format only to prove confluence, while Crisys2 has many functions to e.g., solve *all-path reachability problems* [3]. To prove confluence of LCTRSs, the tool uses the following criteria:

- weak orthogonality [5], and
- termination and joinability of critical pairs [8].

To prove termination, the tool uses the DP framework for LCTRSs [4] without any interpretation method, together with a criterion for LCTRSs with bitvector arithmetics [6].

The critical pairs of two constrained rewrite rules $\rho_1: \ell_1 \to r_1 \ [\varphi_1]$ and $\rho_2: \ell_2 \to r_2 \ [\varphi_2]$ with distinct variables (i.e., $\mathcal{V}ar(\ell_1, r_1, \varphi_1) \cap \mathcal{V}ar(\ell_2, r_2, \varphi_2) = \emptyset$) are all tuples $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ such that a non-variable subterm $\ell_1|_p$ of ℓ_1 at a position p is unifiable with ℓ_2 , " $p \neq \varepsilon$, $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ up to variable renaming, or $\mathcal{V}ar(r_1) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\ell_1)$ ", the most general unifier γ of $\ell_1|_p$ and ℓ_2 respects variables of both ρ_1 and ρ_2 , i.e., $\gamma(x)$ is either a value or a variable for all variables x in $\mathcal{V}ar(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \cup (\mathcal{V}ar(r_1, r_2) \setminus \mathcal{V}ar(\ell_1, \ell_2))$, $(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)\gamma$ is satisfiable, $s = r_1\gamma$, $t = (\ell_1[r_2]_p)\gamma$, and $\phi = (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2)\gamma$. The set of critical pairs of an LCTRS \mathcal{R} is denoted by $CP(\mathcal{R})$, which includes all critical pairs of two rules in $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}_{calc}$. A critical pair $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ is called trivial if $s \ [\phi] \sim t \ [\phi]$. An LCTRS \mathcal{R} is called weakly orthogonal if \mathcal{R} is left-linear and all critical pairs of \mathcal{R} are trivial.

Theorem 1 ([5]). A weakly orthogonal LCTRS is confluent.

A critical pair $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ is called *joinable* if $(\langle s, t \rangle [\phi]) \to_{\mathcal{R}}^* (\langle s', t' \rangle [\phi'])$ and $s' [\phi'] \sim t' [\phi']$.

Theorem 2 ([8]). A terminating LCTRS is confluent if all its critical pairs are joinable.

The previous version [7] uses syntactic equivalence of terms as a sufficient condition for a critical pair $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ being trivial.

Proposition 3 ([7]). A critical pair $\langle s, s, \phi \rangle$ is trivial and thus joinable.

This version uses the idea of EQ-DELETION of constrained rewriting induction [2].

Proposition 4. A critical pair $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ is trivial if there exist positions p_1, \ldots, p_n of s such that p_1, \ldots, p_n are positions of t, $t = s[t_1, \ldots, t_n]_{p_1, \ldots, p_n}$, $s|_{p_1}, t_1, \ldots, s|_{p_n}, t_n$ are theory terms, $\mathcal{V}ar(s|_{p_1}, \ldots, s|_{p_n}, t_1, \ldots, t_n) \subseteq \mathcal{V}ar(\phi)$, and $\phi \land \neg (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (s|_{p_i} = t_i))$ is unsatisfiable.

In addition, this version uses a very simple variant of the disproof criterion—an LCTRS is not confluent if there exists a constrained critical pair that rewrites to a non-trivial constrained equation in normal form—in [9, Lemma 1].

Proposition 5. An LCTRS \mathcal{R} is not confluent if there exists a critical pair $\langle s, t, \phi \rangle$ of \mathcal{R} such that s, t are variables in $Var(\phi)$ and $\phi \wedge \neg (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (s|_{p_i} = t_i))$ is satisfiable.

¹ http://www.trs.css.i.nagoya-u.ac.jp/craris/

²https://project-coco.uibk.ac.at/ARI/lctrs.php

³https://www.trs.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp/crisys/

CRaris Nishida and Kojima

References

 T. Aoto, N. Hirokawa, D. Kim, M. Kojima, A. Middeldorp, F. Mitterwallner, N. Nishida, T. Saito, J. Schöpf, K. Shintani, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. A new format for rewrite systems. In C. Chenavier and S. Winkler, editors, *Proceedings of the 12th International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 32–37, 2023.

- [2] C. Fuhs, C. Kop, and N. Nishida. Verifying procedural programs via constrained rewriting induction. *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic*, 18(2):14:1–14:50, 2017.
- [3] M. Kojima and N. Nishida. Reducing non-occurrence of specified runtime errors to all-path reachability problems of constrained rewriting. *Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming*, 135:1–19, 2023.
- [4] C. Kop. Termination of LCTRSs. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Termination*, pages 1–5, 2013.
- [5] C. Kop and N. Nishida. Term rewriting with logical constraints. In P. Fontaine, C. Ringeissen, and R. A. Schmidt, editors, *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Frontiers of Combining Systems*, volume 8152 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 343–358. Springer, 2013.
- [6] A. Matsumi, N. Nishida, M. Kojima, and D. Shin. On singleton self-loop removal for termination of LCTRSs with bit-vector arithmetic. In A. Yamada, editor, *Proceedings of the 19th International Workshop on Termination*, pages 1–6, 2023.
- [7] N. Nishida and M. Kojima. CRaris: CR checker for LCTRSs in ARI Style. In C. Chenavier and N. Nishida, editors, Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Confluence, pages 83–84, 2024.
- [8] J. Schöpf and A. Middeldorp. Confluence criteria for logically constrained rewrite systems. In B. Pientka and C. Tinelli, editors, Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Automated Deduction, volume 14132 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 474–490. Springer, 2023.
- [9] J. Schöpf and A. Middeldorp. Automated analysis of logically constrained rewrite systems using crest. In A. Gurfinkel and M. Heule, editors, Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems, volume 15696 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 124–144. Springer, 2025.