

CO3 (Version 2.6)

Naoki Nishida and Misaki Kojima

Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan
nishida@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp k-misaki@nagoya-u.jp

CO3, a **converter** for proving **confluence** of **conditional** TRSs,¹ tries to prove confluence of conditional term rewrite systems (CTRSs, for short) by using a transformational approach (cf. [8]). The tool first transforms a given weakly-left-linear (WLL, for short) 3-DCTRS into an unconditional term rewrite system (TRS, for short) by using \mathbb{U}_{conf} [3], a variant of the *unraveling* \mathbb{U} [10], and then verifies confluence of the transformed TRS by using the following theorem: A 3-DCTRS \mathcal{R} is confluent if \mathcal{R} is WLL and $\mathbb{U}_{conf}(\mathcal{R})$ is confluent [2, 3]. The tool is very efficient because of very simple and lightweight functions to verify properties such as confluence and termination of TRSs.

Since version 2.0, a *narrowing-tree*-based approach [9, 4] to prove infeasibility of a condition w.r.t. a CTRS has been implemented [5]. The approach is applicable to *syntactically deterministic* CTRSs that are operationally terminating and *ultra-right-linear* w.r.t. the *optimized unraveling*. To prove infeasibility of a condition c , the tool first proves confluence, and then linearizes c if failed to prove confluence; then, the tool computes and simplifies a narrowing tree for c , and examines the emptiness of the narrowing tree. Since version 2.2, CO3 accepts both *join* and *semi-equational* CTRSs, and transforms them into equivalent DCTRSs to prove confluence or infeasibility [6].

The difference from the previous version [7] is a slight improvement of the subterm criterion. CO3 uses very lightweight criteria for proving termination, while using the DP framework [1]. The *subterm criterion* implemented in the previous version considers the first argument of marked symbols, while arbitrary arguments can be taken. This version uses the second argument in addition to the first one: The subterm criterion processor tries to prove finiteness of a given DP problem by means of the first argument, and if failed, then it tries it by means of the second argument. This slight improvements succeeds in proving termination of (C)TRSs and thus confluence of, e.g., 1009.ari.

References

- [1] J. Giesl, R. Thiemann, and P. Schneider-Kamp. The dependency pair framework: Combining techniques for automated termination proofs. In F. Baader and A. Voronkov, editors, *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Logic for Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Reasoning*, volume 3452 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 301–331. Springer, 2005.
- [2] K. Gmeiner, B. Gramlich, and F. Schernhammer. On soundness conditions for unraveling deterministic conditional rewrite systems. In A. Tiwari, editor, *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications*, volume 15 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, pages 193–208. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2012.
- [3] K. Gmeiner, N. Nishida, and B. Gramlich. Proving confluence of conditional term rewriting systems via unravelings. In N. Hirokawa and V. van Oostrom, editors, *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 35–39, 2013.
- [4] Y. Maeda, N. Nishida, M. Sakai, and T. Kobayashi. Extending narrowing trees to basic narrowing in term rewriting. IEICE Technical Report SS2018-39, the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers, 2019. Vol. 118, No. 385, pp. 73–78, in Japanese.

¹<http://www.trs.css.i.nagoya-u.ac.jp/co3/>

- [5] N. Nishida. CO3 (Version 2.1). In M. Ayala-Rincón and S. Mimram, editors, *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Confluence*, page 67, 2020.
- [6] N. Nishida. CO3 (Version 2.2). In S. Mimram and C. Rocha, editors, *Proceedings of the 10th International Workshop on Confluence*, page 61, 2021.
- [7] N. Nishida and M. Kojima. CO3 (Version 2.5). In C. Chenavier and N. Nishida, editors, *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 71–72, 2024.
- [8] N. Nishida, T. Kuroda, and K. Gmeiner. CO3 (Version 1.3). In B. Accattoli and A. Tiwari, editors, *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Confluence*, page 74, 2016.
- [9] N. Nishida and Y. Maeda. Narrowing trees for syntactically deterministic conditional term rewriting systems. In H. Kirchner, editor, *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction*, volume 108 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, pages 26:1–26:20. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2018.
- [10] E. Ohlebusch. Termination of logic programs: Transformational methods revisited. *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, 12(1/2):73–116, 2001.