

# Hakusan 0.5: A Confluence Tool

Kiraku Shintani and Nao Hirokawa

JAIST, Japan

s1820017@jaist.ac.jp, hirokawa@jaist.ac.jp

Hakusan is a prototype tool for automatically proving confluence of left-linear term rewrite systems (TRSs). The tool, written in Haskell, is freely available at:

<http://www.jaist.ac.jp/project/saigawa/>

The typical usage is: `hakusan <file>`. Here the input file is written in the TRS format [3]. The tool outputs YES if confluence of the input TRS is proved, and MAYBE if the tool does not reach any conclusion. Currently the tool does not support non-confluence analysis.

Confluence analysis in Hakusan is based on *compositional* confluence criteria [4], which mean sufficient conditions such that, given a rewrite system  $\mathcal{R}$  and its subsystem  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , confluence of  $\mathcal{C}$  implies that of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Compositional criteria can be seen as a combination method for confluence analysis. Hakusan alternately uses two compositional confluence criteria: One is a compositional version of the rule labeling method [6, Theorem 56], and the other is a compositional version of the confluence criterion by critical pair systems [1].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a left-linear TRS and  $\mathcal{C}$  a confluent TRS with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , and also let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be labeling functions from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . The TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  is confluent if we have  $\mathcal{R}_{\phi,0} = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{R}_{\psi,0}$  and the following conditions hold for all  $(k, m) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .*

- *Every parallel critical peak of form  $t \xrightarrow{\phi, k} s \xrightarrow{\psi, m} u$  is  $(\psi, \phi)$ -decreasing.*
- *Every parallel critical peak of form  $t \xrightarrow{\psi, m} s \xrightarrow{\phi, k} u$  is  $(\phi, \psi)$ -decreasing.*

Here  $\mathcal{R}_{\phi, k}$  stands for  $\{\ell \rightarrow r \in \mathcal{R} \mid \phi(\ell \rightarrow r) \leq k\}$  and  $\leftrightarrow_{\phi, k}$  for the parallel step of  $\mathcal{R}_{\phi, k}$ . See [4, Definition 27] for the definition of  $(\psi, \phi)$ -decreasingness.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a left-linear TRS and  $\mathcal{C}$  a confluent TRS with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . The TRS  $\mathcal{R}$  is confluent if  $\mathcal{R} \leftrightarrow^* \mathcal{R} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}}^* \cdot \mathcal{R}^* \leftarrow$  and  $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{R}$  is terminating. Here  $\mathcal{P}$  stands for the TRS:  $\{s \rightarrow t, s \rightarrow u \mid t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} s \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} u \text{ is a parallel critical peak but not } t \leftrightarrow_{\mathcal{C}}^* u\}$ .*

For automation, the tool employs the SMT solver Z3 [2] for finding suitable labeling functions, and the termination tool NaTT [5] for testing relative termination.

## References

- [1] N. Hirokawa and A. Middeldorp. Decreasing diagrams and relative termination. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, volume 47, pages 481–501, 2011.
- [2] L. de Moura and N. Bjørner. Z3: An Efficient SMT Solver. In *Proc. 12th TACAS*, volume 4963 of LNCS, pages 337–340, 2008.
- [3] A. Middeldorp, J. Nagele, and K. Shintani. Confluence Competition 2019. *Proc. 25th TACAS*, volume 11429 of LNCS, pages 25–40, 2019.
- [4] K. Shintani and N. Hirokawa. Compositional Confluence Criteria. In *Proc. 7th FSCD*, 2022.
- [5] A. Yamada and K. Kusakari and T. Sakabe. Nagoya Termination Tool. In *Proc. 25th RTA*, volume 8560 of LNCS, pages 446–475, 2014.
- [6] H. Zankl, B. Felgenhauer, and A. Middeldorp. Labelings for decreasing diagrams. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, volume 54, pages 101–133, 2015.