ACP: System Description for CoCo 2023

Takahito Aoto

Institute of Science and Technology, Niigata University aoto@ie.niigata-u.ac.jp

A primary functionality of ACP is proving confluence (CR) of term rewriting systems (TRSs). ACP integrates multiple direct criteria for guaranteeing confluence of TRSs. It also incorporates divide—and—conquer criteria by which confluence or non-confluence of TRSs can be inferred from those of their components. Several methods for disproving confluence are also employed. For some criteria, it supports generation of proofs in CPF format that can be certified by certifiers. The internal structure of the prover is kept simple and is mostly inherited from the version 0.11a, which has been described in [3]. It also deal with confluence of oriented conditional term rewriting systems. Besides confluence, ACP supports proving the UNC property (unique normal form property w.r.t. conversion) and the commutation property of term rewriting systems. The ingredients of the former property have been appeared in [2, 5]. Our (dis)proofs of commutation are based on a development closed criterion [6] and a simple search for counter examples. In this year, we newly participates to the UNR category; some methods for (dis)proving the UNR property employed in our prover are described in [4]. We have also added the facility for generating some proofs in CPF format in commutativity (dis)proving.

ACP is written in Standard ML of New Jersey (SML/NJ) and the source code is also available from [1]. It uses a SAT prover such as MiniSAT and an SMT prover YICES as external provers. It internally contains an automated (relative) termination prover for TRSs but external (relative) termination provers can be substituted optionally. Users can specify criteria to be used so that each criterion or any combination of them can be tested. Several levels of verbosity are available for the output so that users can investigate details of the employed approximations for each criterion or can get only the final result of prover's attempt.

References

- [1] ACP (Automated Confluence Prover). http://www.nue.ie.niigata-u.ac.jp/tools/acp/.
- [2] T. Aoto and Y. Toyama. Automated proofs of unique normal forms w.r.t. conversion for term rewriting systems. In *Proc. of 12th FroCoS*, volume 11715 of *LNAI*, pages 330–347. Springer-Verlag, 2019.
- [3] T. Aoto, J. Yoshida, and Y. Toyama. Proving confluence of term rewriting system automatically. In *Proc. of 20th RTA*, volume 5595 of *LNCS*, pages 93–102. Springer-Verlag, 2009.
- [4] K. Okamoto, A Method for Automatically Verifying Unique Normal Form Property w.r.t. Reduction. Bachlor's thesis, Niigata University, 2020.
- [5] M. Yamaguchi and T. Aoto, A fast decision procedure for uniqueness of normal forms w.r.t. conversion of shallow term rewriting systems. In *Proc. of 5th FSCD*, volume 167 of *LIPIcs*, pages 9:1–9:23. Schloss Dagstuhl, 2020.
- [6] J. Yoshida, T. Aoto, and Y. Toyama. Automating confluence check of term rewriting systems. Computer Software, 26(2):76–92, 2009.