

# CO3 (Version 2.2)

Naoki Nishida

Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan  
nishida@i.nagoya-u.ac.jp

CO3, a converter for proving confluence of conditional TRSs,<sup>1</sup> tries to prove confluence of conditional term rewrite systems (CTRSs, for short) by using a transformational approach (cf. [5]). The tool first transforms a given weakly-left-linear (WLL, for short) 3-DCTRS into an unconditional term rewrite system (TRS, for short) by using  $\mathbb{U}_{conf}$  [2], a variant of the *unraveling*  $\mathbb{U}$  [8], and then verifies confluence of the transformed TRS by using the following theorem: a 3-DCTRS  $\mathcal{R}$  is confluent if  $\mathcal{R}$  is WLL and  $\mathbb{U}_{conf}(\mathcal{R})$  is confluent [1, 2]. The tool is very efficient because of very simple and lightweight functions to verify properties such as confluence and termination of TRSs. Since version 2.0, a *narrowing-tree*-based approach [6, 3] to prove infeasibility of a condition w.r.t. a specified CTRS has been implemented [4]. The approach is applicable to *syntactically deterministic* CTRSs that are operationally terminating and *ultra-right-linear* w.r.t. the *optimized* unraveling.

When *join* and *semi-equational* CTRSs are given as input, the previous version returns MAYBE but the present one accepts them as input. To prove confluence of join CTRSs, we consider them as oriented ones [7, Section 5.3].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a join CTRS, and  $\mathcal{R}'$  be  $\{\ell \rightarrow r \Leftarrow s_1 \twoheadrightarrow x_1, t_1 \twoheadrightarrow x_1, \dots, s_k \twoheadrightarrow x_k, t_k \twoheadrightarrow x_k \in \mathcal{R} \mid \ell \rightarrow r \Leftarrow s_1 \downarrow t_1, \dots, s_k \downarrow t_k, x_1, \dots, x_k$  are distinct fresh variables $\}$ . Then, (1)  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} = \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}'}$ , and (2)  $\mathcal{R}$  is confluent if and only if  $\mathcal{R}'$  is so.*

To prove confluence of semi-equational CTRSs, we consider them as join (i.e., oriented) ones.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a semi-equational CTRS, and  $\mathcal{R}'$  be  $\{\ell \rightarrow r \Leftarrow s_1 \downarrow t_1, \dots, s_k \downarrow t_k \in \mathcal{R} \mid \ell \rightarrow r \Leftarrow s_1 \leftrightarrow^* t_1, \dots, s_k \leftrightarrow^* t_k\}$ . Then, all of the following hold: (1)  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \supseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}'}$ ; if  $\mathcal{R}'$  is confluent, then (2)  $\rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}'}$  and (3)  $\mathcal{R}$  is confluent.*

Note that the present version does not disprove confluence of join and semi-equational CTRSs.

To prove infeasibility of a condition  $c$ , the tool first prove confluence, and then linearizes  $c$  if failed to prove confluence; then, the tool computes and simplifies a narrowing tree for  $c$ , and examines the emptiness of the narrowing tree.

## References

- [1] K. Gmeiner, B. Gramlich, and F. Schernhammer. On soundness conditions for unraveling deterministic conditional rewrite systems. In *Proc. RTA 2012*, vol. 15 of *LIPICs*, pp. 193–208, 2012.
- [2] K. Gmeiner, N. Nishida, and B. Gramlich. Proving confluence of conditional term rewriting systems via unravelings. In *Proc. IWC 2013*, pp. 35–39, 2013.
- [3] Y. Maeda, N. Nishida, M. Sakai, and T. Kobayashi. Extending narrowing trees to basic narrowing in term rewriting. IEICE Tech. Rep. SS2018-39, Vol. 118, No. 385, pp. 73–78, 2019, in Japanese.
- [4] N. Nishida. CO3 (Version 2.1). In *Proc. IWC 2020*, page 67, 2020.
- [5] N. Nishida, T. Kuroda, and K. Gmeiner. CO3 (Version 1.3). In *Proc. IWC 2016*, p. 74, 2016.
- [6] N. Nishida and Y. Maeda. Narrowing trees for syntactically deterministic conditional term rewriting systems. In *Proc. FSCD 2018*, vol. 108 of *LIPICs*, pp. 26:1–26:20, 2018.
- [7] N. Nishida, M. Sakai, and T. Sakabe. Soundness of unravelings for conditional term rewriting systems via ultra-properties related to linearity. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 8(3):1–49, 2012.
- [8] E. Ohlebusch. Termination of logic programs: Transformational methods revisited. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, 12(1/2):73–116, 2001.

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.trs.css.i.nagoya-u.ac.jp/co3/>