

CoCo 2017 Participant: CSI 1.1*

Bertram Felgenhauer, Julian Nagele, and Aart Middeldorp

Department of Computer Science, University of Innsbruck, Austria

CSI is a strong automatic tool for (dis)proving confluence of first-order term rewrite systems (TRSs). It is based on the termination prover $\mathsf{T}\overline{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{T}_2$ [4] and has been in development since 2010. Its name is derived from the Confluence of the rivers Sill and Inn in Innsbruck. The tool is available from

<http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/csi>

under a LGPLv3 license. A new improved web interface is available as well. Below we briefly report on recent extensions that make CSI more powerful, secure, and useful. A more detailed description can be found in [5].

TRSs that contain AC rules pose a challenge for confluence provers. In CSI we incorporated a version of the AC critical pair lemma based on extended rules [7], which is used in the modern completion tool `mkbt` [8]. For unique normal form properties, we now support Chew’s theorem [1] for UNC and, for ground TRSs, a decision procedure for NFP (in addition to CR, UNC and UNR [2, 3]). The most recent addition to CSI’s repertoire of certifiable confluence criteria is based on terminating critical-pair-closing systems [6]. The following table demonstrates the progress CSI has made in the last 6 years; CSI 0.1 was released in 2011, CSI 0.6 participated in CoCo 2016. The results in the final column are using CSI’s certified mode.

	CSI 0.1	CSI 0.6	CSI 1.1	✓CSI 1.1
yes	116	181	215	119
no	51	62	67	67
maybe	142	66	27	123

References

- [1] P. Chew. Unique normal forms in term rewriting systems with repeated variables. In *Proc. 13th STOC*, pages 7–18, 1981. doi: [10.1145/800076.802452](https://doi.org/10.1145/800076.802452).
- [2] B. Felgenhauer. Deciding confluence of ground term rewrite systems in cubic time. In *Proc. 23rd RTA*, volume 15 of *LIPICs*, pages 165–175, 2012. doi: [10.4230/LIPICs.RTA.2012.165](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPICs.RTA.2012.165).
- [3] B. Felgenhauer. Efficiently deciding uniqueness of normal forms and unique normalization for ground TRSs. In *Proc. 5th IWC*, pages 16–20, 2016.
- [4] M. Korp, C. Sternagel, H. Zankl, and A. Middeldorp. Tyrolean Termination Tool 2. In *Proc. 20th RTA*, volume 5595 of *LNCS*, pages 295–304, 2009. doi: [10.1007/978-3-642-02348-4_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-02348-4_21).
- [5] J. Nagele, B. Felgenhauer, and A. Middeldorp. CSI: New evidence – a progress report. In *Proc. 26th CADE*, volume 10395 of *LNCS (LNAI)*, pages 385–397, 2017. doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-63046-5_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63046-5_24).
- [6] M. Oyamaguchi and N. Hirokawa. Confluence and critical-pair-closing systems. In *Proc. 3rd IWC*, pages 29–33, 2014.
- [7] G. E. Peterson and M. E. Stickel. Complete sets of reductions for some equational theories. *JACM*, 28(2):233–264, 1981. doi: [10.1145/322248.322251](https://doi.org/10.1145/322248.322251).
- [8] S. Winkler and A. Middeldorp. Normalized completion revisited. In *Proc. 24th RTA*, volume 21 of *LIPICs*, pages 319–334, 2013. doi: [10.4230/LIPICs.RTA.2013.319](https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPICs.RTA.2013.319).

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project P27528.