

# Nrbox: System Description for CoCo 2016

Takahito Aoto<sup>1</sup> and Kentaro Kikuchi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Niigata University

`aoto@ie.niigata-u.ac.jp`

<sup>2</sup> RIEC, Tohoku University

`kentaro@nue.riec.tohoku.ac.jp`

*Nominal rewriting* [4, 5] is a framework that extends first-order term rewriting by a binding mechanism. A distinctive feature of the nominal approach is that  $\alpha$ -conversion and capture-avoiding substitution are not relegated to meta-level—they are explicitly dealt with at object-level. This makes nominal rewriting significantly different from classical frameworks of higher-order rewriting systems based on ‘higher-order syntax’.

Nrbox (Nominal rewriting toolbox) is an automated confluence prover for *nominal rewrite systems* (NRSs). Nrbox is written in Standard ML of New Jersey (SML/NJ). The tool registered to the category of confluence of nominal rewrite systems that has been adopted as one of the demonstration categories in CoCo 2016. Nrbox proves whether input NRSs are Church-Rosser modulo the  $\alpha$ -equivalence ( $CR \approx_\alpha$ ) based on the following results (we refer to [1] for the notions and notations):

**Proposition 1** ([7]). *Orthogonal and abstract skeleton preserving NRSs are  $CR \approx_\alpha$ .*

**Proposition 2** ([8]). *Linear uniform NRSs are  $CR \approx_\alpha$  if  $\Gamma \vdash u \rightarrow^= \circ \approx_\alpha \circ \leftarrow^* v$  and  $\Gamma \vdash u \rightarrow^* \circ \approx_\alpha \circ \leftarrow^= v$  for any basic critical pair  $\Gamma \vdash \langle u, v \rangle$ .*

**Proposition 3** ([8]). *Terminating uniform NRS are  $CR \approx_\alpha$  iff all basic critical pairs are joinable.*

**Proposition 4** ([6]). *Left-linear uniform NRSs are  $CR \approx_\alpha$  if  $\Gamma \vdash u \dashrightarrow \circ \approx_\alpha v$  ( $u \dashrightarrow \circ \approx_\alpha \circ \leftarrow^* v$ ) for any inner (resp. outer) basic critical pair  $\Gamma \vdash \langle u, v \rangle$ .*

Termination of NRSs is proved by encoding the problem into the termination problem of first-order term rewriting, which is explained in [1]. For the computation of BCPs (basic critical pairs), the equivariant unification algorithm [3] is required; our equivariant unification procedure is based on the algorithm explained in [2].

## References

- [1] T. Aoto and K. Kikuchi. Nominal confluence tool. In *Proc. of 8th IJCAR*, volume 9706 of *LNCS*, pages 173–182. Springer-Verlag, 2016.
- [2] T. Aoto and K. Kikuchi. A rule-based equivariant unification procedure. In *Proc. of 8th HOR*, 2016.
- [3] J. Cheney. Equivariant unification. *J. of Automated Reasoning*, 45:267–300, 2010.
- [4] M. Fernández and M. J. Gabbay. Nominal rewriting. *Inform. and Comput.*, 205:917–965, 2007.
- [5] M. Fernández, M. J. Gabbay, and I. Mackie. Nominal rewriting systems. In *Proc. 6th PPDP*, pages 108–119. ACM Press, 2004.
- [6] K. Kikuchi, T. Aoto, and Y. Toyama. Parallel closure theorem for left-linear nominal rewriting systems. <http://www.nue.riec.tohoku.ac.jp/user/kentaro/cr-nominal/pct.pdf>.
- [7] T. Suzuki, K. Kikuchi, T. Aoto, and Y. Toyama. Confluence of orthogonal nominal rewriting systems revisited. In *Proc. 26th RTA*, volume 36 of *LIPICs*, pages 301–317, 2015.
- [8] T. Suzuki, K. Kikuchi, T. Aoto, and Y. Toyama. Critical pair analysis in nominal rewriting. In *Proc. 7th SCSS*, volume 39 of *EPiC*, pages 156–168. EasyChair, 2016.